

(b) (6)

From: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2016 2:22 PM
To: PortlandHarbor
Subject: FW: Harbor Comments

Laura Knudsen
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Superfund | Environmental Protection Specialist Tel 503-326-3280
 | knudsen.laura@epa.gov (b) (6)

"Nothing great was ever achieved without enthusiasm" (Ralph Waldo Emerson)

Please Note: I am currently on detail to the EPA Region 10 office in Portland, Oregon until July 23, 2016. Thank you!

-----Original Message-----

From: drupal_admin@epa.gov [mailto:drupal_admin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2016 4:52 PM
To: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Subject: Harbor Comments

Submitted on 06/28/2016 7:51PM
 Submitted values are:

Your Name (b) (6)

Your Email (b) (6)

Your Comments:

From what I have read in The Oregonian it appears to me that the EPA is doing the least possible to dispose of this problem. Burying some in the river and leaving most of it to be "naturally" cleansed over the next two or three decades is hardly a solution. I think a small part is proposed to be removed and buried in a landfill, and that seems like a real solution.

I do not claim to be a professional on this subject, but I think the parties responsible for this mess should do more to clean it up. I also know costs are an issue, but so is the health of the river and the people who live adjacent to it. I think they should be given a higher priority than they apparently seem to have been.

Thank you for considering my comments.